TABLE OF
CONTENTS

Section	Description	Page	Section	Description	Page
1.0	Executive Summary	2	8.0	Development Phase	
				8.1 List of Validation Studies and Proposals	42
2.0	Introduction			8.2 Summary of Validations and Proposals	42
	2.1 Project Description	4		Validation 1 - I-94/M-10 Interchange	43
	2.2 Project Purpose and Need	4		Validation 2 - I-94/I-75 Interchange	53
	2.3 Scope of Value Engineering Assignment	5		Validation 3a - I-94/I-75 Interchange	57
	2.4 Value Engineering Process	5		Validation 3b - I-94 Dequindre Bridge Service Drives	62
	2.5 Value Engineering Study Date and Site	6		Validation 4 - Drainage	63
	2.6 Value Engineering Team	7		Validation 5 - Construction Staging	69
				Proposal 1 - Review Total Area and Cost of Retaining Walls	75
3.0	Information Phase			Proposal 2 - Use Perimeter Road System for Service Drives	
	3.1 Information Provided to Value Engineering Team	8		M-10 and I-75 Interchanges	82
	3.2 Owners, Users, Stakeholders	8		Proposal 3 - Shift Eastbound Service Drive at Mt. Elliott	84
	3.3 Needs, Desires, Constraints	10		Proposal 4 - Eliminate Traffic Signals at Certain Intersections	86
				Proposal 5 - Use 12 ft. Median Shoulder for I-94	87
4.0	Cost Analysis			Proposal 6 - Use 4 ft. Median Barrier for I-94	88
	4.1 Draft EIS Cost Estimate	12		Proposal 7 - Place Valley Gutter in Front of Retaining Wall	89
	4.2 VE Approach to Cost Model	12		Proposal 8 - Shift Mainline I-94 Alignment through	
				M-10 Interchange	90
5.0	Function Analysis			Proposal 9 - Shorten Pedestrian Bridges	93
	5.1 Function-Logic Diagram	14		Proposal 10 - Reconfigure E. Grand Blvd. Near GM Plant	96
	5.2 Function-Cost Analysis	14		Proposal 11 - Use 2'-4" Median Barrier for I-94 Mainline	99
				Proposal 12 - Reduce Construction on M-10 South of I-94	100
6.0	Speculation Phase				
	6.1 Definition	27		8.3 Cost Model	102
	6.2 Potential Value Engineering Study Areas	27			
	6.3 List of Ideas	28	9.0	Resolution/Implementation Phase	111
7.0	Evaluation Phase			Appendix A - DEIS Cost Detail	113
	7.1 Initial Screening	30			
	7.2 Checkpoint Presentation	30		Appendix B - Elemental Cost	118
	7.3 Rating	30			
	7.4 Ideas Accepted by MDOT at Checkpoint Presentation	38		Appendix C - Presentation	119

Note: There are two reports that outline the VE Study.

The contents of this Value Engineering Report are intended to convey the results of a 10-day peerreview of the Early Preliminary Engineering (EPE) work to date and to provide confidence to MDOT that the to-be-built items shown in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and subsequent exhibits can be built and will operate as intended. Additional reviews will occur in future years as additional design details are developed.

Executive Summary - Sections 1, 4, 8 and 9 and Appendix A
Final Report - Section 1 through 9 and Appendices A, B & C.